



Innovative pedagogies series: Teaching literature

Contemporary Gothic, threshold concepts,
social justice and dialogue

Professor Gina Wisker, Head of Centre for Learning and
Teaching

University of Brighton

Contents

Section	Page
Contents	2
Introduction	3
Background context	3
Theories in practice	5
Threshold concepts	6
Individual learners and adult learning theories	8
Learning styles and approaches	8
Kolb's learning cycle	9
Access, widening participation and social justice	9
Practice – what I do	9
Why use contemporary Gothic writing?	10
Example: Dialogue, learner centredness, threshold concepts contemporary Gothic and values: Toni Morrison's <i>Beloved</i> (1987)	11
Constructive alignment, technology-enhanced teaching and the Gothic	14
Example: Neil Gaiman's 'Only the end of the world again', 'Chivalry' and 'Shoggoth's Old Peculiar'.	15
'Switch it on'	16
Influences and how this practice evolved	16
Variety of experience	16
Working with adults	16
World text and voice – DUET	17
Opening up different readings	17
Safe and risky spaces – CHES	17
How others might adapt or adopt this practice: practical advice and tips	18
Conclusion	18
References	19

Introduction

The novel is “the stage upon which the great debates of society can be conducted.” (Salman Rushdie, *Is Nothing Sacred?*, 1990, p. 7).

Literature teaching and learning is a risky and dynamic experience, an interaction, a dialogue between people, ideas, language and text to create meanings. It is more of a form of praxis than the gaining of a body of knowledge. My pedagogic practice, teaching literature, aims to engage students in active learning, in a dialogue with the texts, considering the arguments and values with which these texts engage, and how they engage with them. Threshold concepts (Meyer, Land and Cousins 2003, 2006) inform the strategies I use so that students engage with ways in which texts use representation, language and form, in context, to enable transformational learning and change. My work is learner centred, valuing and helping hone the responses and growth of different learners, in different contexts. Constructive alignment (Biggs and Tang 2007, 2011) ensures a well-planned, managed structure within which to learn and teach; demystification and scaffolding to enable a dynamic interaction between learners, texts and the world, in different contexts. Some technology-enhanced learning, along with other accessible active practices, contributes to returning some of the agency to students to construct knowledge. My specialist area, contemporary Gothic literature, enables interactions between learner-centred dialogue with the text, and issues of social justice.

Genre and pedagogy interact with work on contemporary Gothic writing as it engages with contradictions and contested knowledge, conflicted views, parallels, sometimes parallel worlds, alternative perspectives and hidden histories, questioning boundaries and breaking silences. I believe that literature should cause us to question what seems given, how meaning is made, knowledge constructed and shared and how histories, experiences, are seen differently from different perspectives of culture and context. Working with contemporary Gothic literature enables essential questioning, problematising and exploring. Learners work together in dialogue with the text, the context, and each other, making and considering their own and shared interpretations. The destabilising of set views, readings and complacencies opens a vital gap for discussion and the construction of alternative interpretations, backed by evidence from text and critic and driven by students’ own developments of articulated argument. Contemporary Gothic texts cause us to create new thoughts, arguments, and ways in, and then urge us to move beyond the texts to speculate about received views and how knowledge and opinion are constructed, represented and passed on more generally in society. Learner-centredness forms sensitive response to difference in learning behaviours, learning contexts, texts and interactions, so I adjust what we do accordingly. Believing that learning with texts is enabled through dialogue means creating safe, lively, but also risky, spaces to engage texts, critical responses, and students in a mix of open discussion and staged contributions so all can respond. Constructive alignment underpins a scaffolded programme and course structure, while learning technologies enable access to a range of materials, and search practices to discover sources, critics, responses, and opportunities to contribute to online knowledge creation through dialogue.

Background context

Literature is involved in an active dialogue with issues, values and lives through its formal aesthetic qualities: the form and language used to articulate these. Teaching literature helps to equip students and teachers alike with strategies to explore, understand and practise interactions: the dialogue between form and interpretation, and between the learners and the text, in the ways in which we work together to co-construct knowledge. But, as with other disciplines, students are not always aware that their role is to respond as feeling and thinking beings, in a space, to make meaning rather than just reproduce the content or views of others. Sharing some of the values and expectations is a useful first step. Learning

and teaching with literature is a dynamic process affected by the texts, students, time, place, and the catalytic things we do as teachers, empowering and enabling students with strategies to engage and articulate, and with the awareness that they have the right to speak. This is something of a tall order in contemporary university contexts focused on matrices and evidence of impact, with often a utilitarian approach that desires measurable production as output rather than transformation and interpretation, always in a dynamic interactive flux. There is something crucial in this making meaning in interaction, this borderline activity of making something new, relating and responding to the aesthetics, the intent and the values of texts; and for this dynamic productive empowering interaction, engagement with learners is essential.

Our reading and work with literature is always in a dialogue between the learners, the text and the world, making meaning. Louise Rosenblatt's (1978) "transactional" view of reading and interpreting (p. xii), values different readings, and an active reader. She sees our interaction with a text as live, arguing every text is an event, so that, in each reading there is a transaction between text, world, author and reader. I see our interactions in teaching and learning literature taking place in a liminal space between the literary text, students, teachers and the world. What we make of this is always alive, changing each time we interact. The learners, all of us involved in dialogue together, making meaning and co-constructing knowledge, are involved as subjects, engaged people in a context, and emotions come into play as well as cognitive processes. We engage values and ethics, make choices and debate different readings, through our informed interaction with the ways the texts work. Building on the views of Raphael Samuels and the social, liberal, liberational, adult education traditions lying behind literature teaching, nuanced as it is now with the vital interplay with research, Ben Knights comments, "we have to use our authority to hold and protect the spaces within which formative interchange between the affective and the cognitive may take place" (Knights 2001, p.1).

For me, research and teaching interact, neither static nor fixed, each enriched by engagement with students and their thoughts, work and interpretations in different spaces and times. The tools and techniques we use as well as the safe spaces and risky activities we set up in learning contexts should all enable research to come alive as part of learning and teaching. I am always concerned to avoid the imposition of authoritarian readings, the shutting down of debate and interpretation. Developing skills of critical thinking, analysis, and articulation enable us all to engage with the dynamic practice of working with literature.

This means in lectures, seminars and online, I ask questions and encourage exploration of critical arguments, sources, the ways texts are constructed and expressed, the context of their production and our reading, and students' views, emerging from this interplay, this dialogue. This is structured in terms of questions, material, and guidance I provide, but it is also open, since students are asked to find their own sources, textual examples, language to explore the texts and make their evidence-based arguments. There is modelling in parts of the lecture and seminar, in critical reading made available (the process demystified), in pre-seminar preparation, and assignments. There are new questions and issues offered and requested from students so that they can do their own inquiring, constructing in a balance between insider knowledge of various models of responses, ways of researching and the license to explore, argue, create, evidence and articulate.

This interaction is a fired-up space of change, the kind of liminal space where transformational learning takes place and troublesome knowledge destabilises, challenges fixed views, and leads to breakthroughs in thinking and expression. Discussing this in terms of teaching from a feminist perspective, the work of Patti Lather and the notion of praxis proves useful (Lather 1998). Lather imagines a liminal, border, boundary space, in which theory and practice interact. Here students take risks in relatively safe spaces and construct responses and make meanings in dialogue with each other, critics, texts and the world. It is the interaction between the theory and the practice that fuels the making and articulating.

Knights uses similar terms about border crossings and boundary breaking, building on Bakhtin (1984) when he emphasises this dynamism:

The difficulty of reading is simultaneously the challenge of creating and performing imaginative systems adequate to represent and change our world, and the enterprise in which teacher and student join is, in a Bakhtinian sense, a boundary activity. (Every "internal experience ends up on the boundary... To be means to communicate.") (*Knights 2001, p.1*).

In Meyer and Land's terms this is "troublesome knowledge" because of its challenge to merely received versions, interpretations, orthodoxies, and because students are asked to read, discuss, create and share their interpretations, expose their ways of finding out and how they interact, but in a safe enough context since the rules of response and feedback involve questioning rather than attacking, requiring evidence and clarification rather than disagreement. This works because we establish such rules at the start: classroom trialling and shutting people down is not the aim, but perspective must be backed up by critical and textual evidence and explanations of interpretation and argument.

So "our uncomfortable business", as Knights calls it (troublesome knowledge) is what Aronowitz and Giroux call "border pedagogy" (1991), probably because it picks up and encourages the edginess, the newness, as well as dynamic dialogue in the study and discussion of texts – which are never fixed and finished. We bring them alive through various interactions and interpretations and that relationship between the aesthetic elements, in context, stimulates engagement with social, cultural and personal issues. Teaching and learning literature is always more than implementing a set of skills and tools, but developing a demystified understanding of the skills and tools also helps enable equality, liberalism, the social justice aspects, since students equipped with critical reading, argument and skills associated with threshold concepts, can construct their own understanding of literary texts, and avoid the dominance of an authoritarian, exclusive reading. Engaging with literary texts is always more than utilitarian, involving the imagination and creative responses. A major issue is the engagement of literature, and its study, with issues of social justice, equality, sustainability, value. It is important to make clear this role of literature to negotiate and engage with values, enabling learners to engage with the literature and decode the values it vehicles, debating them, learning about their relationship to context and place. These are some of the important activities which learners engage with, activities which are the outcomes of learning-centred dialogues, of interaction between text and student.

Theories in practice

In my own teaching of literature I combine a number of theories and approaches. All my teaching and supervision is based on a belief in learner centredness and students as co-constructors of knowledge. This means starting with where the learner is, or seems to be, and working with them through literary texts, critics, class lectures, seminars, online work and group work. This is used to encourage reading, thinking and writing practices which help learners to critically engage with the work in contexts, in terms of the ways in which it deals with issues, arguments and values. It does this through language, structure, signification, representation, themes, character, rhyme, etc., depending on what the literary form, genre and intent are, and the effects this has on the reader and readers, as far as can be determined.

Knights notes that English is a discipline on the borders, a "boundary practice", with its roots in adult education, the workers' education association and the tutorial classes of the extension movement. So English is more of a form of praxis than a body of knowledge, i.e. an interaction between people, ideas and language to create meanings. The pedagogical practice emerging emphasises "resistance to the commodification of culture" (Knights 2014, p. 6). Knights argues that it is "distinctively not a transmission model of education, but a way of performing and starting the individual reader's journey towards maturity via their interaction with the difficult literary subject" (Knights 2014, p. 6). This rules out the one hour lecture without breaks for me, and following the work of Graham Gibbs (1991), Alan Jenkins and others, I have always lectured with short bursts of information, questioning and modelling, followed by

showing something (an appropriate artefact, a clip) sharing something (part of a text) and asking for responses, either short question and answer or rather pairs and threes for a few minutes – to encourage interaction and creation. I often start with a question, and end with one, to promote thought and interaction.

In *The Literature Study Guide: Mastering the Art of English?* Mildred Bjerke (2014) exposes two historical roots to English teaching as a “trade secret” (p. 7). On the one hand a belief in its “transformative power for social reform”, is “rooted in the idea of a disinterested aesthetic experience” and on the other a “more utilitarian and instrumental educational tradition” (p. 8), building on Mathew Arnold’s work. I do not want to teach students what to read, how to read it and what to believe. I set up the conditions so that they can learn to do this and practise it themselves from models, interactions, questions, space to argue and develop. Linking the aesthetic (language, form etc.) and the social justice and personal response elements of the literary in teaching and learning seem to me to be essential. Derek Attridge (2014) also speaks of the pleasure of reading and the importance of encounters with the “other” enabled by working with literature, and this emerges very much for me particularly when working with the Gothic, the postcolonial, and the postcolonial Gothic.

Threshold concepts

The study of Literature works dynamically, aesthetically, intellectually and at the level of personal and cultural awareness of values in action through enabling the achievement of the threshold concepts of representation, context, form and language, and interpretation. What I am trying to do is enable students to engage with its transformational powers. I do this through discussion and dialogue based on modelling personal interaction, the way in which the text expresses itself (the latter is related to practical criticism, in the I.A. Richards¹-influenced tradition) to expose the debates and dialogues and gain entrance to them. In this way, the experience of studying English takes place in a dialogue, in praxis and is a transformational, productive exchange in a cultural context with the students. Threshold concepts have been a revelation to me. They help identify ways in which students might learn and interpret, particularly as the threshold concept of representation interacts with that of formal expression and context. In this interaction it is possible to see that informative and entertaining literary works (or not) engage readers more than by telling a story and painting a picture of reality; they represent an argument, several perspectives and arguments, with which the reader is engaged, working out what is being said while the story is told, the characters develop and the themes emerge. Interpretation of what is said is enabled by language, form and imagery, by characterisation, what can be hinted at or layered in a sonnet or in a short story, by nuances, ambiguities, and parallels reflecting the choice of works in relation to each other, and context. In teaching postcolonial and Gothic writing, I became very aware that the cultural and historical context from which a literary text is written, and in which it is read, affect how it can be written, read, articulated or engaged with – and so the vitality of difference emerges.

Meyer and Land (2005) define threshold concepts as:

- **transformative** – leading to significant, and probably irreversible, shifts in perception;
- **integrative** – exposing previously hidden interrelatedness of something;
- **bounded** – bordering into new conceptual areas;
- **troublesome** – conceptually difficult, counter-intuitive or alien.

The four main threshold concepts in English literature teaching and learning are, I argue:

¹ See https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/I._A._Richards

- **representation** – something (signifier) stands for something else (signified), it is more than a copy of the real – using the “real”, using character, metaphor, event, theme to suggest something, a message, values, argument...
- **context** – the engagement of the literary work with time, place, people’s world views and values – and with the time, place world views and *values* of the reader
- **formal expression** – this is all vehicled by/articulated by way of the match with, and through the form, structure, language, genre, etc.
- **interpretation** - readers, learners, writers, interact with the text in context opening up new perspectives and ways of looking at the text and the world, “questioning and reading from other perspectives” (Eagleton 2000a, p. 22).

Historically I explored the recognition and achievement of threshold concepts in English with a (Higher Education Academy (HEA)) research project *Conjunctions and Connotations* (2007). English teaching colleagues commented on enabling and nurturing students’ interactions with and responses to language and form, concepts in practice, enquiry and values:

...I think there’s a real threshold moment when students are introduced to theories of language, particularly the structure in theories of language, which opens up a gap between language and the world and the idea that actually language is the way in which we construct the world and bring the world, and its being rather than just simply reflecting a world...
(Lecturer 8) (Wisker, Cameron, Antoniou 2007, p.13)

And of students’ awareness of the concepts in practice that

...they have to get to grips with the theory of ideology because it’s the absolutely core concept that helps them theorise the relationship between literature and society... *(Lecturer 11) (Wisker, Cameron, Antoniou 2007, p.13).*

They were clear about the importance of excitement, enquiry, meaning making, noting:

...you’ve got to get the excitement, you’ve got to get the sense of discovery... Enquiry is an absolutely key concept I think, enquiry, discovery. If you don’t get that what is the point? There is no point, you might as well do something else. *(Lecturer 3) (Wisker, Cameron, Antoniou 2007, p.13).*

The research indicated recognition of the four main threshold concepts in English literature learning, and ways of working towards their achievement. Putting theory into practice is important here. Lather’s work (1998) from a feminist perspective argues for praxis, the relationship between theory and practice, crucial in working with theory-informed learning, and teaching with literary texts, where an aim is to engage with the articulation of ideas in practice and to transform thinking and behaviour. Specifically focusing on liminality and breakthroughs, thoughts from the literature engage with understanding, conceptual threshold crossing, and articulation.

Liminality can be defined as when students are on the threshold of deeper conceptual understandings, sometimes becoming frustrated, losing confidence or dropping out (Meyer and Land *et al.* 2008). Some get ‘stuck’, finding learning troublesome but nevertheless undergo a transformational, creative experience in the liminal space of learning.

Seeking a “praxis of stuck places”, Lather (1998, p. 492) offers a narrative located within feminist and post-structural problematics, “contrasting the rhetorical position of the “the one who knows” with a thinking within Derrida’s “ordeal of the undecidable””. She argues for “a praxis of not being so sure”, and advocates the practices of feminist pedagogy: “where the effort is to speak from discontinuities, the failures of language, self-deception, guilty pleasures, and vested interests: what Ellsworth calls “a speech which comes from elsewhere” to provoke something else into happening – something other than the return of the same” (Lather 1998, p. 492). This praxis of stuck places could tolerate “discrepancies,

repetitions, hesitations, and uncertainties, always beginning again” (Lather 1998, p. 491). Crucially it avoids shutting down meaning, “the privileging of containment over excess, thought over affect, structure over speed, linear causality over complexity, and intention over aggregate capacities. Ontological changes and category slippages mark the exhaustion of received categories of mind/body, nature/culture, base/superstructure, and spiritual/secular” (Lather 1998, p. 497).

This last quotation suggests that clarity of view and understanding derive from moving through a liminal space so there are new energies developed, and binary oppositions between mind and body, nature and culture are rejected. She locates this thinking in feminist theory, with which I align my own work. Such generosity, challenge, riskiness and new conceptual, creative thinking is possible in much interactive meaning making learning, especially when working with a dialogue between literary texts, context and the reading practices of students.

Individual learners and adult learning theories

I believe in learner-centred humanistic approaches influenced by Abraham Maslow² and Carl Rogers³ (1969, 2013): “The tutor or lecturer tends to be more supportive than critical, more understanding than judgmental, more genuine than playing a role” (Rowan 2005). As individuals, students learn in very different ways, and also in a dialogue with each other and the material. They have a variety of issues in their lives which affect their learning. We need to take notice of these. This relates to the atmosphere for learning, of co-construction of knowledge. My desire to start with the individual learner is based on appreciating how very different learners are, aiming to support students in overcoming fears, developing strategies, becoming successful in their learning. This is based in the adult learning theories of Brookfield (1986, 1987, 1992) related to those of Carl Rogers and the notion of *Freedom to learn* (1969). Brookfield also urges respecting learners as adults, acknowledging and using their engagement with context, personal experience, in individual and group response in a dialogue with the text, rather than reproducing a set of meanings and readings. We are equal as learners, learning together, although I come with the content knowledge and engage a range of learning processes.

Learning styles and approaches

I work with learning styles and approaches as shortcuts to identify different learning behaviours so that what we do engages students in thinking about how they understand, so that we can recognise and build on their strengths and work to address weaknesses. Deep and surface learning (Entwistle and Ramsden 1983) are useful here. Graham Gibbs has indicated (1981, 1991) that no-one is merely a surface or deep learner, since students tend to follow curriculum signals, and the ways we teach and assess to some extent determine learning practices. Surface learning is based on the ‘eat and excrete’ model, taking in information and returning it to the assessor often without much understanding or retention. Deep learning requires students to ask questions, engage with new information and arguments, with previous learning, in a dialogue with others. For example, they interact in structured group discussions and feedback, in class or online, seeing other views and answering new questions with new answers by combining information and perspectives. The attention span of students in lectures is considered to be approximately 12 minutes, so my lectures involve students in responding to questions, discussion and activities encouraging active learning, thinking, problematising, and asking questions to engage theory and texts. I cut up lectures to enable active learning and feed back to myself so that can see if students understand what the issues might be so that I can adjust my coverage of the next item. These interactive

² See https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Abraham_Maslow

³ See https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Carl_Rogers

lectures take place with flipped classrooms where students engage with the videoed lecture first, with questions, then work together on the materials and issues in the class – these strategies support active and deep learning, and the assessment is deliberately aimed at the outcomes intended.

Literary texts are the mouthpieces for their times. However, we engage in our times, considering fixed and flexible ideas, issues and values, through a close reading which enables the richness of dialogue in action.

Kolb's learning cycle

I don't believe in learning without practice, trying it out, reflecting on it, integrating experience with reflection evaluation and new learning. This has been explained neatly by Kolb's learning cycle (1984) although like many other quite straightforward tools to help explore learning, it is not based in an empirical study but rather in observation. Kolb's learning cycle involves: concrete experience, reflective observation, abstract conceptualisation, and active experimentation. In theory you can start anywhere in this cycle. In my own practice, I ask students questions related to their experience of the issues which the text deals with; I ask students to reflect on the ways the characteristics of the text engages them, makes them think and respond, offers structures and theories; I ask them to explain how they relate to the work we are doing, and then in lectures, I engage students in active learning, breaking up the lecture to reclaim their place in and instigate reflection, discussion, practice and sharing.

Learning in groups is interactive; discussions in seminars develops this active involvement and keeps students focused, but also gives them the chance to reflect, re-read, discuss and, through dialogue, begin to co-construct knowledge and understanding.

Access, widening participation and social justice

In an essay on teaching literature (Wisker 2014), I situated some of my practice in more political and social terms in the context of higher education (HE), post the white paper, *Students at the heart of the system* (BIS 2011), where funding and commodification of learning and of students are seen to dominate university mission statements and agendas. My argument is that it is crucial to collaborate with students to engage imagination and critical faculties with issues of value, and of social justice. I suggest that, "teaching and researching contemporary feminist fictions and feminist critical practice offer that priceless opportunity to make a difference" (Wisker 2014). Martha Nussbaum argues that universities should produce "Socratic citizens who are capable of thinking for themselves, arguing with tradition, and understanding with sympathy the conditions of lives different from their own" (Nussbaum 2002, p. 302). She champions the Humanities and Arts against current philistinism. Ron Barnett (2011), a higher education theorist, introduces ideas of working towards the development of an "ecological university" existing positively in relation to the "other", and I align these two value statements with teaching, learning and researching for the public good, as understood in critical, transformational terms. This reinforces and underlies my own beliefs in a form of education that can ultimately benefit both individual learners and society through critical thinking and social justice. Nussbaum states in the abstract to her work that:

Capabilities can help us to construct a normative conception of social justice, with critical potential for gender issues, only if we specify a definite set of capabilities as the most important ones to protect. (Nussbaum 2002, p. 302).

Practice – what I do

We make meaning from texts and through dialogue. Texts have designs upon us that go beyond entertainment and enlightening language, though these are also important. They engage us fundamentally with social, political and community issues, and this engagement, active, interactive is never totally fixed as different readers make different meanings at different times, rooted in interaction

with context and reading practices. Being learner-centred means I must make aims and outcomes explicit, materials available, and then the learning can develop unhindered by the irrelevant worrying, since it is in itself troublesome and transformational and the energy needs to be spent on learning. I find out as much as I can about the ways in which learners learn. All the theories I work with in this respect are not just to guide me in what I think might work with students but to enable the students to reflect on and develop their own learning.

Some of the values statements with which I start my lectures emphasise the power of literature to engage our thoughts and feelings. This is a lively interaction which I try to encourage among students through involving the person, engaging with the different kinds of learning behaviours and approaches, and ensuring that institutionally the work is on the syllabus, and the various critical comments and contextual information, as well as my lectures and notes, are available on the virtual learning environment (VLE). This is so that they can begin to engage with the values and contexts through making meaning, questioning and enabling ideas construction.

I use statements about the importance of literature to enable debate, and ask students to consider these in relation to the three texts I will discuss here. I begin a one and half hour seminar by introducing the ideas about literature being fully engaged with and a vehicle for debate about social values; that storytelling is powerful and gives individuals voice; and that their responses to texts also give them a voice. I ask them to discuss the statements and see whether they agree with the attitudes and perceptions of them and whether they can think of texts that have enabled them to think about valued concerns politically. I allow for argument and different perspectives.

Then we look at, for example, Toni Morrison's *Beloved*, focusing on specific passages about how the white ruling class constructed slavery as a commodity issue, and how their voice or those in *Beloved* and Morrison's voice expose this as a powerful denigrating artifice. So the stories of the people in the novel offer alternative voices. Using extracts, I ask them to consider denigrating voices (Schoolteacher and the slavecatcher) and alternative views, as vehicled by the returned baby ghost, and the disturbance in the silenced shut minds of those in Sethe's life. I work through critical extracts, and novel extracts, engaging students in specific questions, asking them to identify how the language and representation engage us as readers in the issues. Then they put forward their own views about how the novel works to engage with social justice through Gothic imagery and scenarios. Although I use these statements to introduce postcolonial Gothic texts, I think they can be used in a variety of contexts:

...it is the only [form] that takes the 'privileged arena' of conflicting discourse right inside our heads. The interior space of our imagination is a theatre that can never be closed down; the images created there make up a movie that can never be destroyed. (*Salman Rushdie, Is Nothing Sacred?*, 1990, p. 13).

A poet's work is to name the unnameable, to point at frauds, to take sides, start arguments, shape the world and stop it from going to sleep... and if rivers of blood flow from the cuts his verses inflict, then they will nourish him. (*Salman Rushdie, The Satanic Verses*, 1988, p. 97).

There is a growing danger that, individually and collectively, we will find ourselves slipping into a fragmented, storyless condition. The loss of the capacity for narrative would amount to the ultimate disempowering of the human subject. (*Michael Sandel, Democracy's Discontent*, 1996, p. 351).

Political community depends on the narratives by which people make sense of their condition, and interpret the common life they share. (*Michael Sandel, Democracy's Discontent*, 1996, p. 350).

Why use contemporary Gothic writing?

Contemporary Gothic writing, postcolonial and women's writing are all particularly useful in getting to grips with the ways in which language, forms etc. engage with the real and the imagined: to question, to

critically engage. The writing explores, examines, critiques and problematises complacencies and ways of thinking considered mainstream, showing them to be constructs, products of time, place, values, perspectives, gender, culture and power.

Selecting texts and extracts that provoke thought and dialogue in terms of what they engage with and vehicle, and how they do it, the language or form is important so that the vital link between values, text and reader is clear, and readers can be aware of how they make meaning. Lively texts act as catalysts for thought and dialogue, about the relationship between issues, context, the form, language and the reader. I use quotations from authors so we can discuss their statements of engaging values, and using the speculative, the Gothic.

In the preface to her work, *Survival* (1972) Margaret Atwood speaks of literature teaching as a political act. Gothic offers rich opportunities to students to become involved with some of the key concepts and issues in our study of literature. Contemporary Gothic problematises comfortable, given readings of the world and foregrounds issues and practices of representation and signification, and does so through dealing in the imaginary, fantasy and horror to consider issues of social justice, family, identity power, politics, self and culture. Texts focus us as active readers on issues of ideological influences, and highlight cultural and other differences as inflected in discourse, image, narrative structure, characterisation and events, using ambiguity, paradox and the crossing of conceptual and other thresholds. Teaching contemporary Gothic provides us and our students with a diversity of difficulties and delights of accessibility, expression and interpretation. Practice with these volatile texts surfaces new fusions between the literary expression enabled by Gothic and practices of learning and teaching which vehicle our engagement with such texts particularly as theorised through threshold concepts (Meyer, Land and Cousin 2003, 2006). These foreground representation, ideology, context and interpretation in relation to interaction and lived experience (ontology) and the critical appreciation and interplay which lead to knowledge and meaning construction (epistemology) through learning, teaching, reading and writing interactions. Teaching, learning and co-researching the work of in particular, Toni Morrison, Nalo Hopkinson, Margaret Atwood and Neil Gaiman offers a rich opportunity to involve students with ways in which the literary Gothic and speculative fictions can prompt engagement with sustainability, equality and diversity. Their work shows how that is deliberately vehicled through an approach that focuses on gender and power.

Example: Dialogue, learner centredness, threshold concepts contemporary Gothic and values: Toni Morrison's *Beloved* (1987)

There are some texts which switch students onto important social, cultural, personal and politicised issues, and *Beloved* (1987) is such a text. It involves students with all of the threshold concepts and encourages transformational learning. *Beloved* is a beautifully written engagement with the continued, lived, haunting presence of the damage of slavery. It involves students immediately as individuals responding emotionally and intellectually, in the historical context. They must find out enough (conduct some of their own research) about history to deal with the issues, and manage a balance between the historical, imaginative, supernatural and the social justice issues, the 'riskiness' of this text in interaction since they will find themselves confronted by issues of story, personal engagement, language, the supernatural, and its transformational ability.

In the Gothic module there is a 90-minute lecture and seminars of 90 minutes, one per group. This affects what we can do, and I modify how we work accordingly (see below). Through teaching *Beloved*, I encourage students to engage with issues of race and ethnicity, historical and cultural context, politics, gender, identity, power and voice, and to do this as they develop awareness of the threshold concepts in literature – representation, contextual influence, form, language and interpretation (Meyer and Land 2008; Wisker and Robinson 2009). I ask for their responses to the text, points of interest, questions and problems (discussions take place in pairs (or threes in the lecture) and last for five minutes). Then I make explicit the issues which I hope we can consider, and add to the PowerPoint slide those issues which they

offer as of interest to them, so we are constructing the class together. I introduce the issues further through PowerPoints and quotations, including Morrison's points about why she writes and her reception, and comments from critics. PowerPoints provide a structure; the quotations introduce critical voices to engage with; discussion involves us with the critical issues, self, the text in interaction, making a response. This is a short introductory lecture (12 minutes) so that there is some guided scholarly input, modelling how we build argument from theory, critical extract, evidence from the text, a storyline or perspective. In considering language we look at how oral storytelling ensures authenticity to the voices and presents interpretations of slavery from the inside; not a closed down chronological story, but one which continues to return and be renewed. We consider how using the perspective and voices of both the enslaved/newly escaped Sethe, her family, Paul D and the dehumanising voices of the slavecatcher and Schoolteacher gives vital insights into different feelings and perspectives and starts to evidence the toxicity of racism and slavery. I immediately open discussion about those quotations either in the large lecture, in buzz groups or pairs, or through a specific passage, over a five-minute group interaction in a lecture, or a longer interaction in a seminar. The opening PowerPoint provides a way through:

- > Literature is political
- > Engagement – threshold concepts and the novel inspired by beliefs
- > Issues of teaching and learning African American women's writing
- > Recuperating hidden histories
- > Slavery and history – realism
- > Gothic and the imaginary
- > Close look at extracts from the novel

Some quotations and comments are used and discussed to situate issues of racism, slavery and history:

I refuse to let them off the hook about whether I'm a Black woman writer or not, I'm under a lot of pressure to become something else. That is why there is so much discussion of how my work is influenced by other 'real' writers for example white Southern writers whom I'm constantly compared to. (*Toni Morrison, interview with Stuart, 1988, p.15*).

Morrison writes the histories of African Americans in periods in which they seemed silenced – hidden from history;

Slavery, lynchings, transatlantic slave crossing, brutalisation (see bell hooks, *Ain't I a Woman*, 1981);

Whole towns wiped clean of Negroes; eighty-seven lynchings in one year alone in Kentucky; four colored schools burned to the ground; grown men whipped like children; children whipped like adults; black women raped by the crew; property taken, necks broken. (*Morrison 1987, p. 180*).

Her engaged aim is a full record which recreates and revitalises history through factual testimony and a recreation of the imaginative world.

Some other teaching strategies to engage students with threshold concepts and with *Beloved* in terms of race, gender, politics and literature include providing cultural, historical, and political context for the reading of the works. Because they share knowledge about context and history, this enables students' understanding and dialogue with the texts. Moving forward from rather conventional contextual analysis and response, using critics from African-American backgrounds and statements by the writer themselves can help students to acknowledge that we might not easily be able to recognise the validity of different forms of expression, such as oral storytelling, nor the perspectives of those whose work we read. Students are then able to begin to work with different forms of writing in context and undertake analysis of expression and technique, which avoids appropriation and translation (see Wisker 2007).

With *Beloved* (and other postcolonial Gothic texts), it is important to discuss the ways in which the Gothic engages with the imagination, ideas, values, irony and contestation so it can be seen as more than something spooky, scary or irrelevant, but rather as a form of expression which employs the imagination as well as historical detail, in a dialogue. I ask students about their knowledge of the Gothic, of ghosts and vampires, and their sense of the relevance and use of these in literature. This often divides the room between those who feel distaste and reject the Gothic as silly and mere entertainment and those who can see how it works with the threshold concept of representation, to establish and elicit a response using the imagination and social, cultural, personal and political issues. I back this up with examples from Morrison and Tananarive Due (an African American Gothic author, whose parents were civil rights activists) and ask them to discuss what the issues are about reception and intent, and what are the ways in which the Gothic might give a voice to silenced histories, and involve us in discussing values, identity, power and so on:

I needed to address my fear that I would not be respected if I wrote about the supernatural (*Tananarive Due in interview, March 17, 2002*).

...the tone in which I could blend acceptance of the supernatural and a profound rootedness in the real time at the same time with neither taking precedence over the other. It is indicative of the cosmology, the way in which Black people looked at the world, we are a very practical people, very down to earth, even shrewd people. But within that practicality we also accepted what... I suppose could be called superstition and magic, which is another way of knowing things. But to blend these two works together at the same time was enhancing not limiting. And some of those things were 'discredited' only because Black people were 'discredited' therefore what they knew was 'discredited'... That kind of knowledge has a very strong place in my world. (*Toni Morrison 1987, pp 104-5*).

Beloved is such a powerful text that I bring in the critical quotations to situate our discussions about elements of the text itself, to engage students in discussion about what Morrison is enacting, what we can interpret, how we feel, how we can speak and move on with a changed understanding of response to such a story. One central incident to discuss very closely is the arrival of Schoolteacher and the slavecatcher to take the protagonist Sethe's family back into slavery, a key incident highlighting the dehumanisation underlying slavery. In this shocking instance it leads to the sacrifice of a baby to save her from slavery, and in the circumstances of a brutal return to slavery, this sacrifice is seen as a less monstrous act than the condition of slavery and the mindset that dehumanises people. The slavecatcher's language dehumanises and infantilises the slaves he comes to catch, comparing them to children, and their worth to animals. We are positioned in his perspective and understand how such a perspective leads to brutality. One of the powerful achievements of literary texts is the creative positioning of perspective so that we see alternative points of view. This takes the learning directly into the experience and emotions of the learner. I ask students to look at how language and perspective work to involve us in his views and what that suggests about those views, and the arguments concerning the institution of slavery in this specific instance. They discuss the questions and the passage for five minutes in groups, then we share responses.

This incident unites realism – the language of the slavecatcher, the moment documented in journalism and historical record, with the Gothic, the supernatural, the reason for the return of a constant haunting spirit, the repressed memory. The haunting (the return of the baby ghost as a full grown woman, into the home) is then seen as inevitable and to be faced, accepted, and lived through.

I also overtly integrate social justice issues, sustainability, equality and concerns about race, gender and sexuality with close textual reading, and promote discussions concerning those issues and the ways in which the text vehicles them, so that the effect and the cognitive elements are involved in our discussion. This is done by introducing these issues, then asking students, in small-group discussions, to explore the text regarding language, imagery and characters in specific key moments. This encourages students to

come to grips with the concerns for themselves. In a longer seminar I ask them to identify passages themselves which deal with such issues, choose someone to feed back, and then present the short passage and the arguments of the group, followed by discussion. I use scaffolding, input, modelling and questioning, and allow for opportunities to offer ideas and views, explore the text closely and discuss. I believe that these model ways of dealing with the text, and provide ample opportunity to offer a personal but managed response, through critical engagement and group discussion. This enables a 'safe' (group, managed by the class context, articulated using critical comments) response to a 'risky' text (it is a 'troublesome' text, it concerns slavery and infanticide). They read closely, engage directly and co-construct knowledge through these interactive practices.

Mike Arnzen (2009) notes:

horror provides an excellent context for learning. It raises the serious questions that allow critical inquiry to transpire. This is, perhaps, patently true of all literary texts, but the omnipresent mode of 'uncertainty' that underpins most works in the horror genre inherently moulds the reading experience into the shape of a question mark. (Arnzen 2009).

As a genre, postcolonial Gothic and Gothic horror have never been more popular on the university syllabus, yet, probably because this is often seen as low brow, popular culture, distasteful schlock, it hides behind the Gothic, speculative fiction, or period studies. It appears in the work of classic writers and many contemporary writers. It is ubiquitous, a form of choice with which to deal with everything from concerns with identity, poverty and violence to cultural and gendered difference. Teaching Gothic/Gothic horror enables us as academics and students to co-construct culturally inflected understandings through engaging with literary and media representations of those issues that matter in life, such as identity, domestic securities, the family, culture, the body, equality, sustainability or the future.

Genre and pedagogy interact in a dialogue with our work on Gothic writing because it engages with opposites, with boundaries and breaking silences, with conflicted views and with parallels and sometimes parallel worlds - its essential destabilising of set views, readings and complacencies opens a vital gap for discussion and alternative constructions backed by evidence from text and critic and driven by the students' own developments of articulated argument.

Gothic is both entertaining and offers a crafted, legitimate, theorised and visceral engagement with the issues that matter. So it engages students in critical thinking, it nudges the gaining of threshold concepts (Meyer and Land 2008) of representation, cultural contextualisation, and the way language and form control and express. It exemplifies and enacts the close relationship between literary texts of all kinds, and meaning, values and agency.

Constructive alignment, technology-enhanced teaching and the Gothic

Within programmes and individual modules, the approaches enabled by constructive alignment have been helpful for me. These take note of the kinds of context and institutions in which we are working, and the variety of students (so what is possible) and the structure of the curriculum, which enables or disables learning in every instance, depending on the choice, timing, length, variety of activities possible.

In this respect, I am very enthusiastic about the opportunities offered by constructivism and constructive alignment, the matching of outcomes to assessment, teaching and learning and student activity, to exactly what you do as a teacher and what the resources are. Discovering the work of Biggs and Tang (2007, 2011) in terms of constructivism and learning outcomes underpinning the curriculum led to a revolution in my own planning, and has enabled me to support others when developing programmes and modules. I link the learning outcomes approach with threshold concepts and the subject skills and understanding at each level so that the assessment, learning and teaching activities, student engagement and access to resources including rooms, layout, materials, online activities are present and used. I use constructivism as a functional scaffolding which enables flexible, well planned learner-centred, active

learning. I would feel like a charlatan if I said I was an expert in technology-enhanced learning, but at the level at which I can work with it, in the context here of teaching the Gothic, I put it, or really blended learning, to effective enough use. It lends itself to enabling students to engage within structured courses, with complex ideas and contradictory views, and so to explore, in scholarly fashion, how sources inform texts, ideas are traded and created, and texts themselves influence future thought and future texts.

Because I believe that we co-develop and construct knowledge in a dynamic interaction between what I might do as a teacher and students do as learners, in different contexts with different texts at different times, I always ensure that the curriculum and our work within it are constructed clearly. (Constructive alignment) learning outcomes are clearly defined, and learning, teaching and assessment activities are in alignment with these. The structure, intent and processes are therefore demystified.

The curriculum is online; what we do each week is online; so are key critical readings, lecture podcasts and PowerPoints used in sessions, so students can see where and why the course is going in the direction it is, how elements link and how they can access elements and material when they want to before or after sessions, for pre-reading, revision or assignments.

Our aims and the activities we are engaged in are clear and negotiated, and as far as the curriculum allows, modified in response to evaluation data both informal in-class and formal in the evaluation cycle. I ensure the complex, critical terms and the discourse of the discipline are demystified, shared in sessions, explored and enacted and used. Some of this can be done through dialogue between students in class talking through questions on text extracts. Some is enabled through students working alone and in groups to explore the use of iPads/iPhones etc.; working with texts, sources, references, contexts and critical responses, exploring where the sources and references in a text have come from and discussing how and why they are used; sourcing and bringing critical terms such as 'uncanny' to bear on texts, identifying how and where they have parallels or have influenced popular media such as film advertisements or games, and to what ends.

Example: Neil Gaiman's 'Only the end of the world again', 'Chivalry' and 'Shoggoth's Old Peculiar'.

I begin early in the Gothic module with introductory work engaging students with the historical contexts. We look at East Grinstead/US West coast writer Neil Gaiman's comic, parodic Gothic horror particularly 'Only the end of the world again' (2000), 'Chivalry' and 'Shoggoth's Old Peculiar' (1999).

Gaiman's short stories replay myths, popular fiction and media, and established work particularly that of Gothic horror master H.P. Lovecraft, in order to threaten and warn as they simultaneously enable amused recognition. His tales deal with fear and disgust at cultural difference, naiveté and danger of unknown places, threats to identity and the constraints of narratives of gender and power. They do this accessibly, partly through his comic, parodic, ironic undercutting of the terror, and partly through a tone and references steeped in a range of British popular comic histories (e.g. Peter Cook and Dudley Moore, Monty Python and British sitcoms), tinged with the darkness of Pinter's plays and the Californian detective fiction of Raymond Chandler. We explore the intertwining of comic and Gothic horror. The undercutting of the high flown, the self-righteous, the self-assured, the pompous takes his work beyond hybridity.

We also look at Gaiman's particular engagement with his readership through digital interaction and communication which goes beyond keeping us in touch with his blog of travel, thoughts and bits of writing, suggesting direct interaction and that readers can contribute to the creative processes and outputs. Gaiman works through the opportunities of the digital, the capacity for visualisations, reconstructions, replays and immediate imaginative engagement of readers with writer and works. In so doing, he utilises the engaged social critique of Gothic horror, its intense troublesomeness undercutting the complacent, constantly forcing engagement, questioning and re-constructing. Gaiman's Gothic horror

is perfect for these new interventions, questionings and reconceptualisations. I post up podcast lectures, online blogs, PowerPoints, links to Gaiman's blogs and to critical work on his writing.

'Switch it on'

Working with students in the seminar, I ask them to explore the influence of Lovecraft on Gaiman by using their mobile phones and iPads to find out both about Lovecraft and his background and period, and then relate this information to the extracts from the stories which influence the three Gaiman stories, Lovecraft's 'The Shadow over Innsmouth' (1936) and *The Dulwich Horror* (1929). This illustrates how writers work with and from each other's writing, influence and parody. The horror of being alone in a strange place leads to a revelation about being treated as the strange 'Other' in 'The Shadow over Innsmouth', and Gaiman uses this in 'Shoggoth's Old Peculiar' (1999) to focus on a lone American tourist, Ben, who finds British bed and breakfast establishments unfriendly and locals in pubs strange, particularly since, building on Lovecraft's strangeness, they start quoting from Lovecraft and using his language (gibbous, eldritch, the Elder gods, Cthulhu). We look together at how Gaiman is influenced by very British humour, from Peter Cook and Dudley Moore to Monty Python, and move forward so students identify issues by relating the strategies used in both comic and horror – such as defamiliarisation, humans turning into strange objects.

Relations to British comic influences are explored by questioning, then finding YouTube excerpts of Peter Cook and Dudley Moore and *Monty Python and the Holy Grail* (1975). Students are invited to use social media in their own comfort zone, and use iPads and iPhones to research locations, influences, critical responses, and Gaiman's online presence. Gaiman's own constant interaction with his readership through his daily blogs is discussed, as is his invitation to become involved with the text. We discuss the relationship between horror and comedy and its defamiliarisation through the students' suggestions of more contemporary examples, which change with each group. In this way influences are explored through digital links: the power lies with the students carrying out the research, and they appreciate the readers' influence on the text and issues of how contemporary writing and media work together, and to what ends (alerting readers/audiences to issues about the Other for example). I use interactive practices of teaching, learning, researching and assessing, making the most of the involvement enabled by digital interactions, and the personal, cultural, imaginative troublesomeness and transformational thinking offered by Gothic horror channelled through irony, parody and comedy.

Influences and how this practice evolved

Variety of experience

My practice developed from studying in 11 schools in five countries followed by early teaching and a fascination with learning and research in a secondary school, The Open University (OU), adult education, a mining college, further education (FE) and working with individual learners from 'remedial' electrical wirers to third year Cambridge University students cramming for the tripos, and in large groups at every level. Shifting levels and context means that reflection is essential for that development, since, even when very well prepared with a session that has worked for a few years – in terms of content and activities – every new group or individual learner prompts me to work differently. As well as ensuring the essential learning takes place, it has to be nuanced to the way the student(s) work and where we are.

Working with adults

In my OU teaching I realised the adults were more experienced in a worldly manner than I was but that I had something with which to dialogue with them: textual and critical skills. Sharing and encouraging the development of these helped them link their experiences with new ways of looking at how texts work in the world, and move to create new knowledge, in a dialogue with the others in the small study sessions lasting intensively for a week at summer school, and at regular intervals during the year for the specific

courses. In OU literature summer schools (1978-1992), through discussion and co-teaching with talented others, I learned how we might engage students with creative writing to explore perspectives such as what Anna Karenina 'really' thinks, or how we might film an event in *Anna Karenina* (1877) from a different perspective. Writers are doing this, and creative writing enables us to imagine and reflect literature, enables engagement with the different perspectives of others at times and places, and does so through the power of language in flux and being. This work is interactive, creative and leads to co-construction of shared knowledge.

World text and voice – DUET

Being involved with DUET (Developing University English Teaching, based at the University of East Anglia (UEA)) in the 1980s enabled us to consider literature in practice and engage with issues of social justice, through language, offering power to the students to engage with the questions and the text rather than listen to and repeat what we told them (Colin Evans 1993). This involved student-led small group work where they worked without staff to construct their own ideas from texts and critics, then presented them to others. This shifted authority from the teacher to the student as a constructor of knowledge. At DUET with Colin Evans and David Punter, I learned about ways in which using perspectives based on being creative can open up the perspectives that texts offer, encouraging their own creative imaginative responses to issues in the world and in individuals' lives, through creative writing.

Opening up different readings

Some other catalytic moments have been about shutting down my interpretations. In an undergraduate tutorial reading a Philip Larkin poem, I was confused that the interpretation of an abusive sexual interaction between a young girl whose "mind lay open like a drawer of knives" was seen as a painful loss for the male abuser, and the girl ignored. My feminist consciousness may have been born then, but so was my sense that provided you can back up your reading from the text, you have the right to an alternative reading, even against orthodoxies. After that, readings that are contradicted just based on orthodoxy have worried me.

Safe and risky spaces – CHES

At the Centre for Higher Education Studies (CHES), University of London on my advanced diploma course, I found the history behind the work I was doing, and that, as Maslow argues, there is a hierarchy of needs: it is difficult to study if the physical space is cold or crowded, or difficult to find, or the atmosphere awkward. I try to set up a physical ambiance, re-organise a room in advance, move chairs, move around the room, construct a shared space which minimises dominance, enables discussion and interaction. I take notice of heat, light and timing of the classes and respond sensitively to both learning issues and emotions involved in the focus of the learning as well as the response of the learner. When teaching Toni Morrison's *Beloved*, there is the trauma, shock and guilt of the revelations of a history of slavery; teaching Marcus Zusak's *The Book Thief* (2005) demands discussion of trauma too, and sympathies for the innocent in a context of enforced compliance; while teaching Sylvia Plath's work demands negotiations with depression, creativity, loss of a parent and suicide. I find it important to introduce discussion questions which encourage students to deal with these otherwise hidden issues, without them overwhelming the discussion of the poems. Including some introductory theory, raising the issues without being confrontational and encouraging focused discussions, enable individuals to engage as much as they want, and need to, without overwhelming them, but still being able to see the hidden as well as overt issues. I believe literature has a role to play in engaging such difficult social, cultural or individual issues and discomfort plays a part in this, but there is no point in such extreme discomfort that no-one learns and people are left feeling awkward, and silenced. It is crucial to have a risky and safe space in which to discuss, and the text and facility with critical, theorised discourse, with which to mediate discussion and interpretation.

How others might adapt or adopt this practice: practical advice and tips

My views and my experiences suggest that it is possible to be reflective about differences to texts, learners and outcomes, if you plan well ahead and bring forward a variety of ways of approaching the texts, so that learners engage dialogue work through staged activities but also have a lot of freedom to say and do different things. This can work with online teaching and learning and the associated research approaches, as it can with the choreography of working with a single individual in a PhD supervision.

It is important to work with engaging, enabling texts. I have several texts I can use to explore and explain threshold concepts and to engage learners with ways in which we can conceptualise and practice research in literary studies. I would argue that to teach through texts is more useful to enable student engagement rather than to insist on disassociated rules and regulations.

Engage practice, theorised in an accessible fashion, so the language is introduced and unpicked and used: making ostensibly complex ideas accessible means you can speak the same language. Terms I use frequently are abjection, dialogic, carnival, deconstructionism: none of these are really difficult ideas. I have found it practical and energising to be sure of clarity, structure and constructive alignment, and within that flexibility, openness and opportunities for different readings and arguments to emerge so that views about what texts engaged with, and how, or how they can be interpreted using theoretical lenses, can be explored, rather than a single taught, didactic view being privileged. Opinion is fine, but an argument needs to be backed up in the text with close reading and with some sense of theory so that arguments worked through the text can iterate at several levels.

Some points to consider:

- start with clear outcomes and share these online and on PowerPoints;
- produce pre-reading, placed online, so students can access it when they need to, and use it in sessions so they see it is clearly feeding into their work;
- flip the class room so that you build on the pre-work and engage in active, group learning in the class;
- involve students immediately through questions in lectures, breaking up lectures with short paired work and small-group interactions;
- use the theorists as jumping off points, through quotations, discussion, and use their theories in action on text extracts;
- raise risky issues in a safe way with supportive theory and sensitive discussion using text and theory, and personal response in small groups;
- be respectful of different views and encourage theorised practical evidence to argue different interpretations.

Conclusion

Literature is always in flux because it works on the page, in your mind, at every reading, and differently with each reader and each group studying and discussing it. Dialogue offers a perspective and language, in the class, between individuals, with theory, and with the text. Studying literature is dynamic and my own practice has meant that I am flexible to enable the outcomes of understanding, the threshold concepts in practice, supporting and equipping students to be confident and articulate about discussing the text using theory and reflection, in a number of contexts. Enthusiastic learner-centredness leads to empowering different learning behaviours and space for different feelings, so that the difficulties with facing the often controversial issues which literature raises, are engaged with, not minimised. Engaging and inspirational texts are important here, as are opportunities to engage safely with risky

transformational issues and ideas, to allow for the development of research skills, argument, and articulation.

My own pedagogical practice is constantly developing, nuanced by the different contexts, texts and learners with which and whom I work. Part of the source of my sense of dynamic qualities and differences feed into my learner-centred practice and grow from working with learners at different levels, in different contexts, something renewed each time I walk into a seminar room, lecture theatre or construct and initiate some interactivity in a VLE.

The innovation in my teaching is based in linking theories and practice, using what I have read, and researched, in one context, learning and teaching, to transfer into practice in another context, teaching literature to undergraduates and postgraduates, and basing my understanding of learning, teaching and assessment to interact, to help develop individual learners, on the everyday and longer-term experience of working with these undergraduates and postgraduates in different contexts.

References

- Arnzen, M. (2009) *Horror and the responsibilities of the liberal educator* [online]. Available from: http://www.simegen.com/writers/dissections/May%202009/dissections_page_03.html [Accessed 16 December 2015].
- Aronowitz, S. and Giroux, H. (1991) *Postmodern education: politics, culture and social criticism*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Attridge, D. (2014) The Department of English and the experience of literature. In: Gidea, N., Kitching, M., Goodwyn, H. and Tyson, H. (eds.) *English studies: the state of the discipline, past, present and future*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Pivot, pp. 42-7.
- Atwood, M. (1972) *Survival: a thematic guide to Canadian literature*. Toronto: Anansi.
- Atwood, M. (2003) *Oryx and Crake*. New York: Nan A. Talese.
- Auld, F. (2009) Teaching horror: subversion, sublimity, and SO MUCH BLOOD! [online]. *Dissections*, May 2009. Available from: http://www.simegen.com/writers/dissections/May%202009/dissections_page_07.html [Accessed 16 December 2015].
- Bakhtin, M. (trans. Caryl Emerson) (1984) *Problems of Dostoyevsky's poetics*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.
- Barnett, R. (1997) *Higher education: a critical business*. Buckingham: Society for Research into Higher Education and Open University Press.
- Barnett, R. (2011) *Being a university*. Abingdon: Routledge.
- Biggs, J. and Tang, C. (2007) *Teaching for quality learning at university* (3rd ed.). Maidenhead: McGraw-Hill/Open University Press/Society for Research into Higher Education.
- Biggs, J. and Tang, C. (2011) *Teaching for quality learning at University* (4th ed.). Maidenhead: McGraw-Hill/Open University Press/Society for Research into Higher Education [3].
- BIS (2011) *Students at the heart of the system* [online]. London: Department for Business, Innovation and Skills. Available from: https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/31384/11-944-higher-education-students-at-heart-of-system.pdf [Accessed 16 December 2015].

- Bjerke, M. (2014) *The literature study guide: mastering the art of English*. In: Gidea, N., Kitching, M., Goodwyn, H. and Tyson, H. (eds.) *English studies: the state of the discipline, past, present and future*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Pivot, pp. 25-41.
- Brodber, E. (1988) *Myal*. London: New Beacon Books.
- Brookfield S.D. (1986) *Understanding and facilitating adult learning*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Brookfield S.D. (1987) *Developing critical thinkers*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Brookfield S.D. (1992) Developing criteria for formal theory building in adult education. *Adult Education Quarterly*, **42** (2) 79-93.
- Eagleson, R. (2000a) *Doing English*. London and New York: Routledge.
- Eagleson, R. (2000b) Undoing English. *CCUE News* issue **13**.
- Entwistle, N.J. and Ramsden, P. (1983) *Understanding student learning*. London: Croom Helm.
- Evans, C. (1993) *English people: the experience of teaching and learning English in British universities*. Buckingham: Open University Press.
- Gaiman, N. (1999) 'Chivalry' and 'Shoggoth's Old Peculiar', *Smoke and Mirrors*. London: HarperCollins.
- Gaiman, N. (2000) 'Only the end of the world again'. London: HarperCollins.
- Gibbs, G. (1981) *Teaching students to learn*. Buckingham: Open University Press.
- Gibbs, G. (1991) *Lecturing to more students*. Oxford: OCDS.
- Kolb D.A. (1984) *Experiential Learning: experience as the source of learning and development*. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.
- Knights, B. (2001) English on the boundaries [online]. *English Subject Centre Newsletter*, **1**, May 2001. Available from: <http://www.english.heacademy.ac.uk/explore/publications/newsletters/newsissue1/knights.htm> [Accessed 15 December 2015].
- Knights, B. (2005) Intelligence and interrogation: the identity of the English student. *Arts and Humanities in Higher Education*, **4** (1) 33-52.
- Knights, B. and Thurgar-Dawson, C. (2006) *Active reading: transformative writing in literary studies*. London: Continuum.
- Knights, B. (2010) The implied aesthetic of English teaching. *WordPlay: the English Subject Centre Newsletter*, April.
- Knights, B. (2014) English on its borders. In: Gidea, N., Kitching, M., Goodwyn, H. and Tyson, H. (eds.) *English studies: the state of the discipline, past, present and future*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Pivot, pp.15-24.
- Land, R., Cousin, G., Meyer, J.H.F. and Davies, P. (2006) Conclusion: implications of threshold concepts for course design and evaluation. In: Meyer, J.H.F. and Land, R. (eds.) *Overcoming barriers to student understanding: threshold concepts and troublesome knowledge*. London: Routledge, pp. 195-206.
- Land, R., Meyer, J.H.F. and Smith, J. (eds.) (2008) *Threshold concepts across the disciplines*. London: Routledge.
- Lather, P. (1998) Critical pedagogy and its complicities: a praxis of stuck places. *Educational Theory*, **48** (4) 487-97.

- Lovecraft, H.P. (1936) 'The shadow over Innsmouth'. Everett, PA: Fantasy Publishing.
- Lovecraft, H.P. (1929) 'The Dulwich horror', *Weird Tales*, April, pp. 481–508.
- Meyer J.H.F and Land R. (2003) Threshold concepts and troublesome knowledge 1 – linkages to ways of thinking and practising. In: Rust, C. (ed.) *Improving student learning – ten years on*, Oxford: Oxford Centre for Staff and Learning Development.
- Meyer, J.H.F. and Land, R. (2005) Threshold concepts and troublesome knowledge (2): epistemological considerations and a conceptual framework for teaching and learning. *Higher Education*, **49** (3) 373-88.
- Morrison, T. (1987) *Beloved*. New York: Alfred A. Knopf.
- Morrison, T. (1988) Interview with Stuart, in *Spare Rib*, no. 189 (April), p.15.
- Nussbaum, M. (2002) Education for citizenship in an era of global connection. *Studies in Philosophy and Education*, **21** (4) 289-303.
- Rogers, C.R. (1969) *Freedom to learn*. Columbus, OH: Charles E. Merrill.
- Rogers, C.R., Lyon, H.C. Jr, and Tausch (2013) *On becoming an effective teacher-on-person-centered teaching, psychology, philosophy, and dialogues with Carl R. Rogers and Harold Lyon*. London: Routledge.
- Rosenblatt, L. (1978) *The reader, the text, the poem: the transactional theory of the literary work*. Carbondale, IL: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Rowan, J. (2005) *A guide to Humanistic Psychology* (3rd ed.). London: UK Association for Humanistic Psychology.
- Rushdie, S. (1988) *The satanic verses*. New York: Viking Press.
- Rushdie, S. (1990) *Is nothing sacred?* London: Penguin Books.
- Samuel, R. (1994), *Theatres of memory*. London: Verso.
- Sandel, M. (1996) *Democracy's discontent*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Tolstoy, L. (1877) *Anna Karenina*, in *The Russian Messenger*.
- Wisker, G. (2014) Nothing wasted: engaging values and the imagination. How can working with feminist speculative fictions enthuse and engage students with social justice and sustainability in an age of austerity? *Journal of Gender Studies*, Special Issue: Feminism, Academia, Austerity, **23** (3) 302-16.
- Wisker, G., Cameron, S. and Antoniou, M. (2007) Connotations and conjunctions: threshold concepts, curriculum development, and the cohesion of English Studies [online]. University of Brighton, Final Report to English Subject Centre, October 2007. Available from: <http://www.english.heacademy.ac.uk/explore/projects/archive/general/gen2.php> [Accessed 16 December 2015].
- Wisker, G. and Robinson, G. (2009) Encouraging postgraduate students of literature and art to cross conceptual thresholds. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, **46** (3) 317–30.
- Wisker, G. (2007) Crossing liminal spaces -teaching the postcolonial Gothic [online]. *Pedagogy Critical Approaches to Teaching Literature Language Composition and Culture*, **7** (3) 427-52. Available from: <http://pedagogy.dukejournals.org/content/7/3/401.full.pdf+html> [Accessed 16 December 2015].

Contact us

+44 (0)1904 717500 enquiries@heacademy.ac.uk
Innovation Way, York Science Park, Heslington, York, YO10 5BR
Twitter: @HEAcademy www.heacademy.ac.uk

© Higher Education Academy, 2015

Higher Education Academy (HEA) is the national body for learning and teaching in higher education. We work with universities and other higher education providers to bring about change in learning and teaching. We do this to improve the experience that students have while they are studying, and to support and develop those who teach them. Our activities focus on rewarding and recognising excellence in teaching, bringing together people and resources to research and share best practice, and by helping to influence, shape and implement policy - locally, nationally, and internationally.

HEA has knowledge, experience and expertise in higher education. Our service and product range is broader than any other competitor.

The views expressed in this publication are those of the author and not necessarily those of the Higher Education Academy. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or any storage and retrieval system without the written permission of the Editor. Such permission will normally be granted for educational purposes provided that due acknowledgement is given.

To request copies of this report in large print or in a different format, please contact the communications office at the Higher Education Academy: 01904 717500 or pressoffice@heacademy.ac.uk

Higher Education Academy is a company limited by guarantee registered in England and Wales no. 04931031. Registered as a charity in England and Wales no. 1101607. Registered as a charity in Scotland no. SC043946.

The words "Higher Education Academy" and logo should not be used without our permission.